

# The dual of constrained KL-Divergence is the MLE of the log-linear model

Dingquan Wang

February 6, 2016

Given a distribution of interest  $p$ . We are looking for an estimation  $q$  that approaches  $p$  by minimizing the KL-Divergence with constraints:

$$q^* = \arg \max_{q \in \mathcal{Q}} KL(q||p) = \arg \max_{q \in \mathcal{Q}} E_q[\log \frac{q(X)}{p(X)}] \quad (1)$$

$$\text{s.t. } E_q[f(X)] - E_p[f(X)] \leq \xi; \|\xi\|_\beta < \epsilon \quad (2)$$

$\mathcal{Q}$  is a distribution family.  $f(X)$  is a measurement vector of  $X$  that we are interested. The general goal is while minimizing  $q \in \mathcal{Q}$  approaching the true distribution we force some quantities agree with some observation in expectation. Consider the Lagrangian:

$$\max_{\lambda \geq 0, \alpha \geq 0} \min_{q(X), \xi} L(q(X), \epsilon, \lambda, \alpha, \gamma) \quad (3)$$

where:

$$L(q(X), \epsilon, \lambda, \alpha, \gamma) = KL(q||p) + \lambda \cdot (E_q[f(X)] - E_p[f(X)] - \xi) \quad (4)$$

$$+ \alpha \cdot (\|\xi\|_\beta - \epsilon) + \gamma \cdot (\int_X q(X) - 1) \quad (5)$$

In order to compute the dual of this Lagrangian, we first represent:

$$\alpha \|\xi\|_\beta = \max \xi \cdot \eta \quad \text{s.t. } \|\eta\|_\beta \leq \alpha \quad (6)$$

This results in a variational Lagrangian:

$$\max_{\lambda \geq 0, \alpha \geq 0} \max_{\|\eta\|_\beta \leq \alpha} \min_{q(X), \xi} L(q(X), \epsilon, \lambda, \alpha, \gamma) \quad (7)$$

with  $L(q(X), \epsilon, \lambda, \alpha, \gamma)$  defined as:

$$L(q(X), \epsilon, \lambda, \alpha, \gamma) = E_q[\log \frac{q(X)}{p(X)}] + \lambda \cdot (E_q[f(X)] - E_p[f(X)] - \xi) \quad (8)$$

$$+ \xi \eta - \alpha \epsilon + \gamma \cdot (\int_X q(X) - 1) \quad (9)$$

$$\frac{\partial L(q(X), \epsilon, \lambda, \alpha, \gamma)}{\partial q(X)} = \log q(X) - \log p(X) + 1 + \lambda \cdot f(X) + \gamma = 0 \quad (10)$$

$$(11)$$

$$\frac{\partial L(q(X), \epsilon, \lambda, \alpha, \gamma)}{\partial \xi_i} = \eta_i - \lambda_i \rightarrow \eta = \lambda \quad (12)$$

$$(13)$$

Plugging  $q(Y)$ ,  $\eta = \lambda$  in  $L(q(X), \epsilon, \lambda, \alpha, \gamma)$  and taking the derivative with respect to  $\gamma$

$$\frac{\partial L(\lambda, \alpha, \gamma)}{\partial \gamma} = \int_X \frac{p(X) \exp(-\lambda \cdot f(X))}{e \exp(\gamma)} - 1 = 0 \quad (14)$$

$$\rightarrow \gamma = \log\left(\frac{\int_X p(X) \exp(-\lambda \cdot f(X))}{e}\right) \quad (15)$$

plug  $\gamma$  into (10)

$$\log q(X) = \log p(X) - 1 - \lambda \cdot f(X) - \log\left(\frac{\int_X p(X) \exp(-\lambda \cdot f(X))}{e}\right) \quad (16)$$

$$q(X) = \exp(\log p(X)) \exp(-1) \exp(-\lambda \cdot f(X)) \exp\left(-\log\left(\frac{\int_X p(X) \exp(-\lambda \cdot f(X))}{e}\right)\right) \quad (17)$$

$$= \frac{p(X) \exp(-\lambda \cdot f(X))}{\int_X p(X) \exp(-\lambda \cdot f(X))} \quad (18)$$

$$= \frac{p(X) \exp(-\lambda \cdot f(X))}{Z_\lambda} \quad (19)$$

where  $Z_\lambda = \int_X p(X) \exp(-\lambda \cdot f(X))$ . Plugging  $\gamma$  and  $q(X)$  into  $L(q(X), \epsilon, \lambda, \alpha, \gamma)$ .

$$L(\lambda, \alpha) = E_q\left[\log \frac{q(X)}{p(X)}\right] + \lambda \cdot (E_q[f(X)] - E_p[f(X)] - \xi) \quad (20)$$

$$+ \xi \lambda - \alpha \epsilon + \gamma \cdot \left(\int_X q(X) - 1\right) \quad (21)$$

$$L(\lambda, \alpha) = E_q\left[\log \frac{q(X)}{p(X)}\right] + \lambda \cdot (E_q[f(X)] - E_p[f(X)]) - \alpha \epsilon \quad (22)$$

$$= \int_X q(X) \log \frac{q(X)}{p(X)} + \lambda \cdot E_q[f(X)] - \lambda \cdot (E_p[f(X)]) - \alpha \epsilon \quad (23)$$

$$= \int_X \frac{p(X) \exp(-\lambda \cdot f(X))}{Z_\lambda} \log \frac{\exp(-\lambda \cdot f(X))}{Z_\lambda} + \lambda \cdot E_q[f(X)] - \lambda \cdot (E_p[f(X)]) - \alpha \epsilon \quad (24)$$

$$= \int_X \frac{p(X) \exp(-\lambda \cdot f(X))}{Z_\lambda} \cdot -\lambda \cdot f(X) - \log Z_\lambda \int_X \frac{p(X) \exp(-\lambda \cdot f(X))}{Z_\lambda} \quad (25)$$

$$+ \lambda \cdot E_q[f(X)] - \lambda \cdot (E_p[f(X)]) - \alpha \epsilon \quad (26)$$

$$= -\lambda \cdot E_q[f(X)] - \log Z_\lambda + \lambda \cdot E_q[f(X)] - \lambda \cdot (E_p[f(X)]) - \alpha \epsilon \quad (27)$$

$$= -\log Z_\lambda - \lambda \cdot E_p[f(X)] - \alpha \epsilon \quad (28)$$

The new objective is:

$$\max_{\lambda \geq 0, \alpha \geq 0} L(\lambda, \alpha) = -\log(Z_\lambda) - \lambda \cdot E_p[f(X)] - \alpha \epsilon \text{ s.t. } \|\lambda\|_\beta^* \leq \alpha \quad (29)$$

We can analytically see that the optimum of this objective with respect to  $\alpha$  is  $\alpha = \|\lambda\|_\beta^*$  and placing this in  $L(\lambda, \alpha)$  we get the dual objective:

$$\max_{\lambda \geq 0} L(\lambda) = -\log(Z_\lambda) - \lambda \cdot E_p[f(X)] - \epsilon \|\lambda\|_\beta^* \quad (30)$$

This is the same objective as the MLE of the log-linear model. as desired.